Characterization of Satellite Swarms Under Non-Keplerian Dynamics – Model Development

Taryn J. Noone & Norman G. Fitz-Coy

















- Completion of new literature search for **non-Keplerian disturbance terms** and the applications in which they appear.
  - Particularly interest in applications involving **formation-flying satellites** (e.g., Geodesy).
- Further development of the framework to implement new disturbance terms as loadable data files.
  - Sources pulled directly from NASA-JPL's NAIF and PO.DAAC databases to obtain Earth orientation and geopotential data.
- Introduced additional disturbance terms to bring the dynamics in line with the International Earth Rotation and Reference System Service (IERS) 2010 Conventions.















The case of Keplerian dynamics uses Newton's Law of Universal Gravitation to propagate the position and velocity of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  satellite:

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\vec{r}_i/dt \\ d\vec{v}_i/dt \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_i \\ -\vec{r}_i \, \mu/\|\vec{r}_i\|^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that, in this case, future positions may be differentiated with respect to the initial orbital elements to obtain closed-form expressions for the gradient and hessian values used in optimization.

Non-Keplerian dynamics modify these equations to

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\vec{r}_i/dt \\ d\vec{v}_i/dt \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_i \\ \nabla \vec{\mathcal{U}}_{t,i} + \vec{a}_{t,i} - \vec{r}_i \, \mu / \|\vec{r}_i\|^3 \end{bmatrix},$$

•  $\vec{\mathcal{U}}_{t,i}$  is the potential field arising from conservative, non-Keplerian forces.

•  $\vec{a}_{t,i}$  is the net acceleration due to non-conservative, non-Keplerian forces. Note that both  $\vec{U}_{t,i}$  and  $\vec{a}_{t,i}$  are evaluated at time *t*.













If we, for now, assume that **all forces acting on the satellite are conservative** (i.e.,  $\vec{a}_{t,i} = 0$ ), then we may use the dynamics

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\vec{r}_i/dt \\ d\vec{v}_i/dt \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_i \\ \nabla \mathcal{U}_{t,i} - \vec{r}_i \, \mu / \|\vec{r}_i\|^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us further assume that  $\mathcal{U}_{t,i}$  may be fully expressed as a function of the **instantaneous values of the following orbital elements**:

- 1. Semi-major axis  $a_{t,i}$ ;
- 2. eccentricity  $e_{t,i}$ ;
- 3. right ascension of the ascending node (RAAN)  $\Omega_{t,i}$ ;
- 4. inclination  $I_{t,i}$ ;
- 5. argument of periapse  $\omega_{t,i}$ ;
- 6. mean anomaly  $M_{t,i}$ ;
- and time *t*.















Lagrange's Planetary Equations thus yield

$$\frac{da_{t,i}}{dt} = \frac{2}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial M_{t,i}} \qquad \frac{dI_{t,i}}{dt} = \frac{\cot(I_{t,i})}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}^2 f_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial \omega_i} - \frac{f_{t,i}}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}^2 \sin(I_{t,i})} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial \Omega_{t,i}}$$

$$\frac{de_{t,i}}{dt} = \frac{f_{t,i}^2}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}^2 e_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial M_{t,i}} - \frac{f_{t,i}}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}^2 e_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial \omega_{t,i}} \qquad \frac{d\omega_{t,i}}{dt} = \frac{f_{t,i}}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}^2 e_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial e_{t,i}} - \frac{\cot(I_{t,i})}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}^2 f_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial I_{t,i}}$$

$$\frac{d\Omega_{t,i}}{dt} = \frac{1}{n_i a_i^2 \sin(I_{t,i}) f_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial I_{t,i}} \qquad \frac{dM_{t,i}}{dt} = n_{t,i} - \frac{2}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial a_{t,i}} - \frac{f_{t,i}^2}{n_{t,i}a_{t,i}^2 e_{t,i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial e_{t,i}}$$
where  $n_{t,i} = (\mu/a_{t,i}^3)^{1/2}$  and  $f_{t,i} = (1 - e_{t,i}^2)^{1/2}$ .

- Remark on singular cases:
  - $I_{t,i} = 0 \Longrightarrow \Omega_{t,i} =$  undefined
  - $e_{t,i} = 0 \Longrightarrow \omega_{t,i} =$  undefined
  - Different representations available for the singular cases.















Nonconservative forces are introduced by setting

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{t,i}}{\partial *} = \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial *} \cdot \vec{a}_{t,i}.$$

This enables inclusion of:

- Atmospheric drag;
- Solar radiation pressure;
- Thrust actuation;

Thrust actuation may also be used as a control term. Bounding this term is tantamount to bounding the level of control effort required to maintain swarm geometry.











## Note that the bounds of some gravitational potentials can be determined:

Source	$\min(u_i) \left[\frac{km^2}{s^2}\right]$	$\max(u_i)\left[\frac{km^2}{s^2}\right]$	$\min(\ \nabla u_i\ ) \left[\frac{km}{s^2}\right]$	$\max(\ \nabla u_i\ ) \left[\frac{km}{s^2}\right]$
$J_2$	$-3.02 \times 10^{-2}$	$+6.04 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.769 \times 10^{-9}$	$2.776 \times 10^{-5}$
O	$-9.02 \times 10^{+2}$	$-8.72 \times 10^{+2}$	$5.736 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.125 \times 10^{-6}$
¥	$-2.68 \times 10^{-4}$	$-1.02 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.701 \times 10^{-13}$	$3.266 \times 10^{-12}$
우	$-8.22 \times 10^{-3}$	$-1.25 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.820 \times 10^{-12}$	$2.078 \times 10^{-10}$
C	$-1.63 \times 10^{-2}$	$-1.07 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.318 \times 10^{-8}$	$5.450 \times 10^{-8}$
o⊼	$-7.68 \times 10^{-4}$	$-1.07  imes 10^{-4}$	$2.681 \times 10^{-13}$	$1.377 \times 10^{-11}$
ᅬ	$-2.14 \times 10^{-1}$	$-1.31 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.360 \times 10^{-10}$	$3.631 \times 10^{-10}$
ħ	$-3.16 \times 10^{-2}$	$-2.30 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.393 \times 10^{-11}$	$2.630 \times 10^{-11}$
ж	$-2.24 \times 10^{-3}$	$-1.84 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.821 \times 10^{-13}$	$8.664 \times 10^{-13}$
Ψ	$-1.59 \times 10^{-3}$	$-1.46 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.115 \times 10^{-13}$	$3.680 \times 10^{-13}$













Why account for disturbances beyond the largest few?

- Prior formation analyses limited to **Low-N swarms**.
- Per the swarm initialization procedure that we have introduced in prior discussions, satellite states **are interconnected with one another**. We believe High-N swarms have the potential to display **chaotic behavior**.
  - It is an observable fact of nature that interconnected systems **tend towards chaos** as the system (and thus the number of connections) becomes larger.
  - Chaotic systems are susceptible to variation in initial condition.
- Even if High-N swarms do not display chaotic behavior, the dynamics are sufficiently nonlinear that **impact of individual terms is unknown particularly on the relative motion.** Thus, we feel it necessary to test the impact of terms beyond the most common lower order terms.
- Besides the above, we do not see any compelling reason to deny the Air Force tools relevant **swarm-based applications that require highfidelity dynamics models** if it so chooses (a goal in keeping with our longstanding goal of mission variability and customization)













- **Problem:** Our swarm analysis requires a way to test high-N swarms for sensitivity to small changes in the dynamics function without the ability to conduct true, on-orbit experiments.
- **Solution:** Obtain as close to exact model knowledge as possible using the IERS 2010 Conventions as a blueprint to construct a thorough dynamics model.
- **Benefits:** A framework wherein the dynamics function can be modified with additional terms; wherein **individual dynamics terms may be activated or deactivated** separately of one another to determine the impact they have on the evolution of the swarm over time.















• We redefine the non-Keplerian dynamics by the relation

$${}_{\mathcal{G}}\dot{\vec{x}}_{i} = {}_{\mathcal{N}}\dot{\vec{x}}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{N}}\dot{\vec{x}}_{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} {}_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{v}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{v}_{\mathcal{G}} \\ {}_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{a}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{a}_{\mathcal{G}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{v}_{i} \\ {}_{\vec{f}}(t, {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i}) - {}_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{a}_{\mathcal{G}}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  ${}_{G}\vec{x}_{i}^{T} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} {}_{G}\vec{r}_{i}^{T} & {}_{G}\vec{v}_{i}^{T} \end{bmatrix}$  is the **orbital state** of the *i*<sup>th</sup> satellite given position  ${}_{G}\vec{r}_{i}$  and velocity  ${}_{G}\vec{v}_{i}$  determined relative to the geocentric reference frame G;  ${}_{G}\vec{x}_{i}$  is its derivative with  ${}_{G}\vec{a}_{i}$  denoting acceleration.

- We define  $\mathcal{G}$  (**commonly called "ECI"**) to be the set of coordinate axes whose origin is coincident with Earth's center of mass and whose axes are parallel to those of the J2000 inertial reference frame  $\mathcal{N}$ .
- We define  $\mathcal{N}$  to be the set of coordinate axes whose origin is coincident with the solar system barycenter, **neglecting proper motion of the sun over mission-relevant timescales.**
- $_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{G}}^T \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} {}_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{r}_{\mathcal{G}}^T & {}_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{v}_{\mathcal{G}}^T \end{bmatrix}$  is the orbital state of the Earth relative to  $\mathcal{N}$ .
- In general,  $_{\mathcal{B}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{A}}^{T}$  denotes the orbital state of **point or non-rotating reference frame**  $\mathcal{A}$  as seen by an observer in reference frame  $\mathcal{B}$ .

















- $\vec{f}(t, g\vec{x}_i)$  contains higher-order dynamics terms.
- Models are available containing higher order terms which are dependent on Earth's orientation at time *t*.
  - Per the rules of transformation between rotating reference frames:

$${}_{\mathcal{E}}\vec{x}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{i} \\ {}_{\mathcal{E}}\vec{v}_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ -({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathcal{E}} \times) & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{i} \\ {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{v}_{i} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}_{\mathcal{E}}T^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i}$$

where  ${}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{\omega}_{\mathcal{E}}(t)$  is the angular velocity of  $\mathcal{E}$  relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ , and  ${}_{\mathcal{E}}T^{\mathcal{G}}$  is the tensor which transforms a  $\mathcal{G}$ -relative state into an  $\mathcal{E}$ -relative state. I is the identity tensor.

• Let  $_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{E}}$  be the acceleration due to disturbances evaluated in frame  $\mathcal{E}$ .

$${}_{g}\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{E}} = \left[ \left( {}_{g}\vec{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathcal{E}} \times \right) \left( {}_{g}\vec{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathcal{E}} \times \right) + \left( {}_{g}\vec{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\mathcal{E}} \times \right) \quad 2 \left( {}_{g}\vec{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\mathcal{E}} \times \right) \quad \boldsymbol{I} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{i} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\vec{v}_{i} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\vec{f}(t,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\vec{x}_{i}) \end{bmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow {}_{g}\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{E}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}_{g}\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{E}}{}_{\mathcal{E}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{g}\vec{x}_{i} + {}_{\mathcal{E}}\vec{f}(t,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{g}\vec{x}_{i}) \end{bmatrix}$$













- We may similarly apply nonspherical Lunar gravity, which is defined in the Moon-centered, Moon-fixed frame  $\mathcal{M}.$
- We will also denote Lunacentric coordinates  ${\cal L}$  to be parallel to  ${\cal N}$  with origin fixed to the Moon's center of mass.

It follows that

$${}_{\mathcal{L}}\vec{x}_{i} = {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}} \Longrightarrow {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{x}_{i} = {}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{L}}({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}}).$$

$$\Longrightarrow {}_{\mathcal{L}}\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{M}} = {}_{\mathcal{L}}A^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{L}}({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}}) + {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{f}(t, {}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{L}}({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}})).$$

• In a final step, we must account for the acceleration of  $\mathcal{L}$  relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ .

$${}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{M}} = {}_{\mathcal{L}}\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{L}}({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}}) + {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{f}\left(t, {}_{\mathcal{M}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{L}}({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}})\right) + {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{a}_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

• It follows that  $_{\mathcal{L}}A^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv {}_{\mathcal{G}}A^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{G}}$ . Thus, we may write that

$$g\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{M}} = gA^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} + {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{f}\left(t, {}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{G}}({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}})\right) - \left({}_{\mathcal{L}}\vec{a}_{\mathcal{G}} - {}_{\mathcal{L}}A^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{L}}{}_{\mathcal{L}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{G}}\right).$$
$$\Longrightarrow {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{M}} = gA^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} + {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{f}\left(t, {}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{G}}({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}})\right) - {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{a}_{\mathcal{G}}.$$













• A quick note from the previous slide concerning the expression

$${}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{E}} = {}_{\mathcal{G}}\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{E}}{}_{\mathcal{E}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} + {}_{\mathcal{E}}\vec{f}(t, {}_{\mathcal{E}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i}),$$

and

$${}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{a}_{i}^{\mathcal{M}} = {}_{\mathcal{G}}\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} + {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{f}\left(t, {}_{\mathcal{M}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{G}}\left({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}}\right)\right).$$

If we define

$$_{\mathcal{G}}A^{\mathcal{G}} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} {}_{\mathcal{G}}A^{\mathcal{E}}{}_{\mathcal{E}}T^{\mathcal{G}} + {}_{\mathcal{G}}A^{\mathcal{M}}{}_{\mathcal{M}}T^{\mathcal{G}},$$

then the non-Keplerian dynamics encountered so far may be expressed as

$${}_{\mathcal{G}}\dot{\vec{x}}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{v}_{i} \\ {}_{\mathcal{E}}\vec{f}(t, {}_{\mathcal{E}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i}) + {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{f}(t, {}_{\mathcal{M}}\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathcal{G}}({}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{\mathcal{L}})) + {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{f}(t, {}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i}) + {}_{\mathcal{G}}\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{G}}{}_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{x}_{i} - {}_{\mathcal{M}}\vec{a}_{\mathcal{G}} - {}_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{a}_{\mathcal{G}} \end{bmatrix}$$











## **Building the Dynamics Model**



- Earth's angular velocity  $_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{\omega}_{\mathcal{E}}(t)$ , angular acceleration  $_{\mathcal{G}}\vec{\alpha}_{\mathcal{E}}(t)$ , and linear acceleration  $_{\mathcal{N}}\vec{\alpha}_{\mathcal{G}}(t)$  are, for our purposes, determined from empirical data collected by NASA and made available through **JPL's CSPICE software** at <u>https://naif.jpl.nasa.gov/naif/index.html</u>.
- For Earth's gravity calculation, **SGG-UGM-2** (<u>http://icgem.gfz-potsdam.de/</u>), published to degree 2190 but truncated to degree 96.
- Lunar gravitational acceleration implemented using sphericalRFM\_MOON\_2519 (<u>http://icgem.gfz-potsdam.de/</u>), published to degree 2519 but truncated to degree 60.

## Set up but not implemented:

- Gravitational pull by the sun and other planets.
- A program to acquire the published monthly GRACE-FO data with a curve fit to obtain non-tidal influences on Earth's gravitational potential.
- Earth Ocean Tides 2011a to implement the gravitational potential caused by Earth's ocean tides.
- Atmosphere and Ocean De-Aliasing Level-1B to implement the gravitational potential of Earth's atmosphere.
- International Earth Rotation and Reference System Service (IERS) guidelines on the following:
  - Gravitational potential caused by solid Earth tides.
  - Gravitational potential caused by solid earth and oceanic polar tides.
  - General relativistic corrections to dynamics (Schwarzschild-Lens-Thinning term).

















- Implement the remaining IERS 2010 dynamics terms.
- Apply Tschauner-Hempel equations.
- Include terms for satellite-applied controls (e.g., thrust).
- Determine bounds of control effort required to maintain geometry.
- Satellite networked architecture.











