Distributed State Estimation with Deep Neural Networks for Uncertain Nonlinear Systems under Event-Triggered Communication

F. M. Zegers, R. Sun, G. Chowdhary, and W. E. Dixon, "Distributed State Estimation with Deep Neural Networks for Uncertain Nonlinear Systems under Event-Triggered Communication," in IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control. <u>Submitted</u>.













Problem Formulation



A system is modeled as

$$\dot{x}_0 = f(x_0) + d,$$

where

 $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ unmeasurable, system state, $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ time-varying disturbance,

 $f: \mathbb{R}^n o \mathbb{R}^n$ uncertain.

Consider a MAS with $N \ensuremath{\left|} N \ensuremath{\left|} a \ensuremath{\left|} a \ensuremath{\left|} b \ensur$

$$\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}),$$

 $\mathcal{V} = \{1, 2, ..., N\},$
 $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V},$
 $\hat{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n.$

 $y_i = C_i x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m.$



 $\limsup_{t \to \infty} \|\hat{x}_i(t) - x_0(t)\| \le \varepsilon \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}$

where $\varepsilon > 0$.

Moreover,

- Distributed,
- event-triggered,
- adaptive through DNN.

Assumptions

- The function f is locally Lipschitz.
- The disturbance *d* is bounded.
- The graph \mathcal{G} is connected for all time.
- The state of the system is bounded,
 i.e., x₀ ∈ D.













Since f is Lipschitz continuous and $x_0 \subset \mathcal{D}$,

 $f|_{\mathcal{D}}(x_0) = \underbrace{W_0^{\top} \sigma(\Phi(x_0))}_{\bullet} + \epsilon$

Ideal DNN

Reconstruction error in \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\begin{split} W_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{L imes n} & ext{is the ideal outer layer weight matrix} \\ \sigma : \mathbb{R}^p o \mathbb{R}^L & ext{is a user-defined bounded continuous function (sigmoid, Gaussian)} \\ \Phi : \mathbb{R}^n o \mathbb{R}^p & ext{is an ideal continuous function (ideal inner DNN)} \\ \Phi(x_0) &= (W_\ell^\top \phi_\ell \circ W_{\ell-1}^\top \phi_{\ell-1} \circ \ldots \circ W_1^\top \phi_1)(x_0). \\ \end{split}$$
Using the structure of $f|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and Φ ,

 $\widehat{f}_i(\widehat{x}_i, \widehat{W}_i, \widehat{W}_{1,i}, ..., \widehat{W}_{\ell,i}) \triangleq \widehat{W}_i^\top \sigma(\widehat{\Phi}_i(\widehat{x}_i)),$

 $\widehat{\Phi}_i(\widehat{x}_i)$ is piecewise continuous, $\{T_p^i\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$.











Let $\{t_k^i\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of sampling and broadcast times, where

 $\tilde{x}_i(t) = \hat{x}_i(t_k^i), \ t \in [t_k^i, t_{k+1}^i).$

The observe of agent i is designed as



$$\widehat{W}_i(t) \triangleq \operatorname{proj}(-\Gamma_i \sigma(\widehat{\Phi}_i(\widehat{x}_i(t)))(\widehat{y}_i(t) - y_i(t))^\top C_i).$$

What about the inner weights and biases?













Observer Design

Inner DNN Training





Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm + Input-Output Data

Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm

- Supervised learning (every input has a corresponding output)
- Nonlinear regression







$$\begin{split} e_{1,i}(t) &\triangleq \hat{x}_i(t) - x_0(t), \text{ state estimation error.} \\ e_1(t) &\triangleq [e_{1,1}^\top(t), e_{1,2}^\top(t), ..., e_{1,N}^\top(t)]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}. \end{split}$$

Theorem:

The observer and outer weight update law for each $i \in V$ ensure the state estimation error is UUB in the sense that

$$||e_1(t)||^2 \le c_1 e^{-\alpha t} + c_2(1 - e^{-\alpha t})$$

provided all assumptions are satisfied, the sufficient parameter conditions (listed in the paper) are satisfied, there exists a matrix K_1 satisfying the bilinear matrix inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}(I_N \otimes K_1)C^{\top}C + \frac{1}{2}C^{\top}C(I_N \otimes K_1) + (L \otimes K_1) \ge k_1 I_{nN},$$

and agent i broadcasts its state estimate as determined by the event-trigger mechanism

$$t_{k+1}^{i} \triangleq \inf \left\{ t > t_{k}^{i} : \phi_{1} \| e_{2,i}(t) \|^{2} \ge \phi_{2} \| z_{i}(t) \|^{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{N} \right\},$$

$$\phi_{1} \triangleq \frac{k_{2}}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{2} \| L \otimes K_{1} \|^{2}, \quad \phi_{2} \triangleq \frac{k_{2}}{4 \| L \otimes I_{n} \|^{2}}.$$













The system model is a 3D Van der Pol oscillator, where

$$f(x_0(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(x(t) - x^3(t)/3 - y(t)) \\ x(t)/\mu \\ -\mu z(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu = 0.3$$

The disturbance acting on the system is

$$d(t) = [0.5\sin(3t), \ 0.75\cos(t), \ \cos(3.75t)]^{\top}.$$

The MAS observing the system consists of three agents with the following output matrices

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ C_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The adjacency matrix encoding the communication topology of the MAS is $\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
The simulation parameters are

$$N = 3, \ \kappa = 1, \ \epsilon = 3 \times 10^3, \ \Gamma = 3I_3, \ \rho = 2, \ \delta = 0.3, \ k_2 = 10, \ \text{and} \ k_1 = 23.3$$
$$K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 134.86 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 263.23 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 263.23 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Simulation Results















uke











- Conclusion
 - Performed distributed state estimation
 - Uncertain nonlinear system,
 - Event-triggered communication,
 - Deep neural networks,
 - Multi-timescale learning (online and offline).
 - Free to adopt several (offline) training strategies
 - Robust to external disturbances (UUB).
- Future Work
 - Under what conditions does $\dot{x}_i \rightarrow \dot{x}_0$? Are there any offline learning strategies capable ensuring $\hat{f}_i \rightarrow f$?
 - Develop a training strategy that runs consensus on the neural network weights.
 - Develop an observer that does not require all states of the system to be measurable by the MAS.
 - Consider sensor/measurement noise (unmatched uncertainty).











Event/Self-Triggered Multi-Agent System Rendezvous with Graph Maintenance

F. M. Zegers, D. Guralnik, and W. E. Dixon, "Event/Self-Triggered Multi-Agent System Rendezvous with Graph Maintenance," in IEEE Control Systems Letters/IEEE Conference on Decision and Control. <u>Submitted</u>.









Consider a multi-agent system of *N* agents.

• Dynamics of agent *p*

$$\dot{x}_p = u_p$$

 $x_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, position of agent p

 $u_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, control of agent p

Undirected Network Topology

 (α)

Design an event/self-triggered controller capable of

- achieving ν -approximate rendezvous, and
- maintaining the edges of the initial $\mathcal{G}_R(\mathbf{x}(0))$.

Key Assumption

• The initial graph $\mathcal{G}_R(\mathbf{x}(0))$ is connected, and every edge $(p,q) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}(0))$ satisfies $\|x_p(0) - x_q(0)\| < R.$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{R}(\mathbf{x}(0)) &= (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}(0)), W(\mathbf{x})), \\ \mathbf{x} &\triangleq (x_{p})_{p \in \mathcal{V}} \in \operatorname{Conf}(\mathcal{V}) \triangleq (\mathbb{R}^{n})^{\mathcal{V}}, \\ \mathcal{V} &= \{1, 2, ..., N\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{R}(\mathbf{x}(0)) &= \{(p, q) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} : \|x_{p}(0) - x_{q}(0)\| \leq R\}, \\ W(\mathbf{x}) &= [w_{pq}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}. \end{aligned}$$

 (α) TTT















Let $r: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a non-decreasing continuous function such that r(0) > 0. Also, let

$$P(\rho) \triangleq \int_0^{\rho} r(s) s ds, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

The potential and edge weight assigned to edge $\{p,q\} \in \mathcal{E}$ are

$$V_{pq}(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq P\left(\|x_p - x_q\|\right),$$
$$w_{pq} \triangleq r\left(\|x_p - x_q\|\right).$$

Next, define $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\tilde{R} \triangleq R(1 - \varepsilon)$.

$$r(s) \triangleq \mu \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & s \in [0, \tilde{R}] \\ 1 + \omega(s^2 - \tilde{R}^2), & s \in [\tilde{R}, R] \\ 1 + \omega(R^2 - \tilde{R}^2), & s \ge R. \end{cases}$$

















The controller of agent p is $u_p \triangleq \eta_p$.

The hybrid system for agent p is

$$\mathcal{H}: \begin{cases} \dot{x}_{p} = \eta_{p}, \\ \dot{\tau}_{p} = 1, & \dot{\eta}_{p} = 0_{n}, \\ \tau_{p}^{+} = 0, & \eta_{p}^{+} = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{N}_{p}} w_{pq} \left(x_{q} - x_{p} \right), \quad \mathbf{T}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) > 0 \\ \mathbf{T}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

1.
$$\boldsymbol{\eta} \triangleq (\eta_p)_{p \in \mathcal{V}} \in \operatorname{Conf}(\mathcal{V}), \, \boldsymbol{\tau} \triangleq (\tau_p)_{p \in \mathcal{V}} \in [0, \infty)^{\mathcal{V}},$$

 $\boldsymbol{\xi} \triangleq [\mathbf{x}^{\top}, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\top}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\top}]^{\top} \in \mathcal{X} \triangleq \operatorname{Conf}(\mathcal{V}) \times \operatorname{Conf}(\mathcal{V}) \times [0, \infty),$

2. For each $p \in \mathcal{V}$, the trigger of agent p, i.e., $\mathbf{T}_p : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, is a continuous function that satisfies $\mathbf{T}_{-}(\mathbf{c}^+) > \mathbf{T}_{-}(\mathbf{c}^+) > 0$

$$\mathbf{T}_p(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_p(\boldsymbol{\xi}^+) > 0.$$

$$C \triangleq \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{V}} [\mathbf{T}_p > 0], \ D \triangleq \overline{C} \cap \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{V}} [\mathbf{T}_p = 0]$$













Supporting Items



Lemma 1. Every initial condition $\phi(0,0) \in \overline{C}$ determines one and only one maximal solution of the hybrid system \mathcal{H} as defined in (6). Moreover, every maximal solution of \mathcal{H} is either *t*-complete or Zeno (complete).

Sketch of Proof: Use Proposition 2.10 & properties of our \mathcal{H} .

















Additional Restrictions on T_p :

 \mathbf{T}_p is an *admissible trigger*, if \mathbf{T}_p is continuously differential, $\mathbf{T}_p \leq f_p$ throughout \mathcal{X} and there exist $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h} > 0$ such that

(a)
$$\mathbf{T}_p(\boldsymbol{\xi}^+) \geq \mathfrak{h}$$
 at each jump of \mathcal{H} ,

(b) $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{T}_p \geq -\mathfrak{m}$ holds along any solution of \mathcal{H} .

Theorem 1. Given $\tilde{R} = R(1 - \varepsilon)$ satisfying (3) and a connected graph $\mathcal{G}_R(\mathbf{x}(0))$, if $\{\mathbf{T}_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{V}}$ is a collection of admissible triggers, then every solution of \mathcal{H} satisfying (10) and initiating from $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}}(\mathcal{G})$ remains $\mathcal{C}_R(\mathcal{G})$, is *t*-complete, and the controllers u_p are bounded for all time.













ν –Approximate Rendezvous

$$\Delta \mathbf{x} \triangleq (x_p - x_q)_{pq \in \mathcal{E}}$$

Theorem 2. Let $\nu > 0$, $\tilde{R} = R(1 - \varepsilon)$ satisfy (3), and $\mathcal{G}_R(\mathbf{x}(0))$ be a connected graph. Suppose $0 < \beta < \sigma K \tilde{R}^2$ and $\{\mathbf{T}_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{V}}$ is a collection of admissible triggers such that, over solutions of \mathcal{H} satisfying (10) and initiating from $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}}(\mathcal{G})$,

$$\mathbf{T}_p + \sigma K \tilde{R}^2 \le f_p + \beta$$

holds for all $p \in \mathcal{V}$. Then, any such solution satisfies

$$\|\Delta \mathbf{x}(t)\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq \frac{r(R)|\mathcal{E}|}{r(0)} \left(\|\Delta \mathbf{x}(0)\|_{\infty}^{2} e^{-\frac{NKt}{r(R)|\mathcal{E}|}} + \sigma \tilde{R}^{2} \right).$$

In this sense, $\mathcal{R}_{
u}$ is exponentially stable for

$$\sigma = \frac{\nu^2}{|\mathcal{E}|\tilde{R}^2} \cdot \frac{r(0)}{r(R)}.$$

In particular, ν' – approximate rendezvous is achieved for every such maximal solution, for any $\nu' > \nu$.











Simulation Results



Simulation parameters: $\mathbf{T}_{p,1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \|\eta_p\|^2 - \|\zeta_p\|^2 + \alpha(\|\eta_p\|)$ $\left(\beta - \frac{\beta}{\gamma}s, \quad s \in [0,\gamma]\right)$

$$\alpha(s) = \begin{cases} \rho - \frac{1}{\gamma}s, & s \in [0, \\ 0, & s \ge \gamma \end{cases}$$



















- Conclusion
 - Event/self-triggered approximate rendezvous framed in the hybrid systems setting.
 - Allows the consideration of multiple event/self trigger mechanisms.
 - Edge potentials
 - bounded,
 - arbitrarily small buffer, and
 - adjustable given any initial configuration.
- Future Work
 - Develop robustness to perturbations in the state and trigger
 - Hybrid Basic Conditions.
 - Consider more complex dynamics.
 - Develop self-triggers that adhere to prescribed periods of radio silence.
 - Extend development to other types of mobile network control problems.













Thank You







Duke

UNIVERSIT





