# Recent Advances in Safety, Optimization, Learning, and Control

#### **Ricardo Sanfelice**

Department Electrical and Computer Engineering University of California

Duke

CoE Review @ UC Santa Cruz - May 30, 2024













# **Outline of Recent Results**



1. Safety

- Safety Certificates
  - ACC23a, CDC23a, CDC23b, TAC (accepted) w/ Warren Dixon
- Reinforcement Learning
  - RLC 2024 w/ Zachary Bell poster here!

#### 2. Optimization

 Dynamical systems approach ACC23c, Optimization journal (almost ready)

Automatica 2023, ACC23d w/ Matt Hale

 Optimization with Computational Constraints CPSWeek-IoT 24 Workshop

#### 3. Motion Planning for Hybrid Systems

- RRT for feasibility and optimality CDC22, CCTA22b, CDC23c, ADHS24 poster here!
- 4. Learning-based Hybrid Control
  - Learning Lyapunov functions for hybrid systems HSCC 2024 Carlos will present it next

# **Outline of Recent Results**



1. Safety

Safety Certificates

ACC23a, CDC23a, CDC23b, TAC (accepted) w/ Warren Dixon

Reinforcement Learning

RLC 2024 w/ Zachary Bell poster here!

#### 2. Optimization

 Dynamical systems approach ACC23c, Optimization journal (almost ready)

Automatica 2023, ACC23d w/ Matt Hale

 Optimization with Computational Constraints CPSWeek-IoT 24 Workshop

#### 3. Motion Planning for Hybrid Systems

RRT for feasibility and optimality

New PhD student and postdoc arriving in Fall Visiting Z. Bell at AFRL/RW in two weeks CDC 2024 Pre-Conference Workshop Proposal on Hybrid Estimation CDC 2024 Tutorial Session Proposal on Hybrid Control

# A Data-Driven Approach for Certifying Asymptotic Stability and Cost Evaluation for Hybrid Systems

Carlos A. Montenegro G., Santiago J. Leudo, and Ricardo G. Sanfelice

University of California, Santa Cruz, USA

CoE Review

May 30, 2024





Montenegro G., J. Leudo, and Sanfelice - UCSC - 1/23

Control Theory + Learning

Quadruped Robot. Mutiple time domains.

Control Theory + Learning

Quadruped Robot. Mutiple time domains.

Synthesis of Lyapunov(-like) functions for dynamical systems is complex

Control Theory + Learning

Quadruped Robot. Mutiple time domains.

Synthesis of Lyapunov(-like) functions for dynamical systems is complex
 Existing numerical methods only apply to limited classes of systems (to certify formal guarantees)

Control Theory + Learning

Quadruped Robot. Mutiple time domains.

- Synthesis of Lyapunov(-like) functions for dynamical systems is complex
- Existing numerical methods only apply to limited classes of systems (to certify formal guarantees)
- Challenges: Hybrid systems pose additional challenges due to interaction of discrete and continuous dynamics

Control Theory + Learning

Quadruped Robot. Mutiple time domains.

Synthesis of Lyapunov(-like) functions for dynamical systems is complex

Thus, we propose a **learning-based approach** to **certify stability** for systems with such **complex dynamics**.

discrete and continuous dynamics

#### Modeling Hybrid Dynamics



# Modeling Hybrid Dynamics



#### Modeling Hybrid Dynamics



A hybrid system  $\mathcal{H}$  with state x as in [Goebel, et.al., PUP 2012]:

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} \dot{x} &= F(x) \quad x \in C \\ x^+ &= G(x) \quad x \in D \end{cases}$$

- C is the flow set
- ▶ *F* is the *flow map*

- D is the jump set
- G is the jump map

A hybrid system  $\mathcal{H}$  with state x as in [Goebel, et.al., PUP 2012]:

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} \dot{x} &= F(x) \quad x \in C \\ x^+ &= G(x) \quad x \in D \end{cases}$$

- C is the flow set
- F is the flow map

- D is the jump set
   C is the jump may
- G is the jump map

#### Solutions parametrized by (t, j):

- ▶  $t \in [0,\infty)$ , time elapsed during flows
- ▶  $j \in \{0, 1, ...\}$ , number of jumps that have occurred

A hybrid system  $\mathcal{H}$  with state x as in [Goebel, et.al., PUP 2012]:

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} \dot{x} &= F(x) \quad x \in C \\ x^+ &= G(x) \quad x \in D \end{cases}$$

- C is the flow set
- F is the flow map

- D is the jump set
   C is the jump me
- G is the jump map

Solutions parametrized by (t, j):

- ▶  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , time elapsed during flows
- ▶  $j \in \{0, 1, ...\}$ , number of jumps that have occurred

Domain of a solution of the form

$$([0, t_1] \times \{0\}) \cup ([t_1, t_2] \times \{1\}) \cup \dots,$$

A hybrid system  ${\mathcal H}$  with state x as in [Goebel, et

$$\mathcal{H}\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= F(x)\\ x^+ &= G(x) \end{cases}$$

- ► C is the *flow set*
- F is the flow map

Solutions parametrized by (t, j):

- ▶  $t \in [0,\infty)$ , time elapsed during flows
- ▶  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ , number of jumps that have occurred

Domain of a solution of the form

$$([0, t_1] \times \{0\}) \cup ([t_1, t_2] \times \{1\}) \cup \dots,$$



#### **Connections to Other Frameworks**

Switched Systems

$$\dot{x} = f_{\sigma(t)}(x)$$
  
$$\sigma(t) \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$$

Impulsive Systems

$$\dot{x} = f(x(t))$$
  
 $x(t^+) = g(x(t)) \quad t \in \{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ 

**Differential-Algebraic Equations** 

$$\dot{x} = f(x, w)$$
$$0 = \eta(x, w)$$

Hybrid Automata



#### **Connections to Other Frameworks**

Switched Systems Impulsive Systems  $\dot{x} = f_{\sigma(t)}(x)$  $\dot{x} = f(x(t))$  $x(t^+) = q(x(t))$   $t \in \{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$  $\sigma(t) \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ To the best of our knowledge, there does not exist previous work to synthesize Lyapunov functions based on learning methods for hybrid systems modeled in such framework.  $\dot{x} = f_1(x)$  $(\dot{x} = f_2(x))$  $\dot{x} = f(x, w)$  $0 = \eta(x, w)$  $\dot{x} = f_3(x)$ 

Stability for Hybrid Systems

Stability for Hybrid Systems

• Coverings via  $\varepsilon$ -nets

- Stability for Hybrid Systems
- Coverings via  $\varepsilon$ -nets
- Learning-based Lyapunov functions

- Stability for Hybrid Systems
- Coverings via  $\varepsilon$ -nets
- Learning-based Lyapunov functions
- Extending Lyapunov conditions from samples

- Stability for Hybrid Systems
- Coverings via  $\varepsilon$ -nets
- Learning-based Lyapunov functions
- Extending Lyapunov conditions from samples
- Application to an oscillator with impacts

#### Pre-asymptotic stability (pAS)

Given a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H}=(C,F,D,G),$  a nonempty set  $\mathcal{A}\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be

**b** stable for  $\mathcal{H}$  if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \delta \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |\phi(t,j)|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \epsilon \quad \forall (t,j) \in \operatorname{dom} \phi$$

for each solution  $\phi$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ ;

#### Pre-asymptotic stability (pAS)

Given a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , a nonempty set  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be

**b** stable for  $\mathcal{H}$  if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \delta \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |\phi(t,j)|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \epsilon \quad \forall (t,j) \in \operatorname{dom} \phi$$

for each solution  $\phi$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ ;

**•** pre-attractive (pA) for  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exists  $\ell > 0$  such that every solution  $\phi$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  with

 $|\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \le \ell$ 

is such that  $(t,j) \mapsto |\phi(t,j)|_{\mathcal{A}}$  is bounded and if  $\phi$  is complete  $\lim_{\substack{(t,j) \in \mathrm{dom} \ \phi \ t+j \to \infty}} |\phi(t,j)|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0;$ 

#### Pre-asymptotic stability (pAS)

Given a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , a nonempty set  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be

**•** stable for  $\mathcal{H}$  if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \delta \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |\phi(t,j)|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \epsilon \quad \forall (t,j) \in \operatorname{dom} \phi$$

for each solution  $\phi$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ ;

**•** pre-attractive (pA) for  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exists  $\ell > 0$  such that every solution  $\phi$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  with

 $|\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \le \ell$ 

is such that  $(t,j) \mapsto |\phi(t,j)|_{\mathcal{A}}$  is bounded and if  $\phi$  is complete  $\lim_{\substack{(t,j) \in \mathrm{dom} \ \phi \\ t+j \to \infty}} |\phi(t,j)|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0;$ 

**pre-asymptotically stable (pAS)** for  $\mathcal{H}$  if it is stable and pre-attractive for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem. Sufficient Lyapunov conditions for pre-asymptotic stability** Consider

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 A set  $\mathcal{U}\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a compact set  $\mathcal{A}\subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

▶ a function  $V : \operatorname{dom} V \to \mathbb{R}$  defining a Lyapunov function candidate on  $\mathcal{U}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  for a system  $\mathcal{H}$ .

If  $\mathcal H$  satisfies the hybrid basic conditions,  $V\in\mathcal{PD}(\mathcal A)^1$ , and

 $egin{aligned} &\langle 
abla V(x),F(x)
angle < 0 & \forall x\in (C\cap\mathcal{U})\setminus\mathcal{A} \ &V(G(x))-V(x)<0 & \forall x\in (D\cap\mathcal{U})\setminus\mathcal{A} \end{aligned}$ 

then  $\mathcal{A}$  is pAS for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We say that a function  $g : \operatorname{dom} g \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is positive definite with respect to a set K, also written as  $g \in \mathcal{PD}(K)$ , if g(x) = 0 for any  $x \in \operatorname{dom} g \cap K$  and g(x) > 0 for any  $x \in \operatorname{dom} g \setminus K$ .

**Theorem. Sufficient Lyapunov conditions for pre-asymptotic stability** Consider

```
• A set \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n and a compact set \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n,
```

▶ a function  $V : \operatorname{dom} V \to \mathbb{R}$  defining a Lyapunov function candidate on  $\mathcal{U}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  for a system  $\mathcal{H}$ .

If  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the hybrid basic conditions  $V \in \mathcal{DD}(A)^1$  and

```
Hybrid basic conditions:
```

- C and D are closed sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- $\blacktriangleright$  F is a single-valued continuous map defined on C
- G is a single-valued continuous map defined on D

<sup>1</sup>We say that a function  $g : \operatorname{dom} g \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is positive definite with respect to a set K, also written as  $g \in \mathcal{PD}(K)$ , if g(x) = 0 for any  $x \in \operatorname{dom} g \cap K$  and g(x) > 0 for any  $x \in \operatorname{dom} g \setminus K$ .

Modeling Lyapunov functions using function approximators has been studied:

▶ Through feature maps<sup>2</sup>  $x \mapsto \eta(x) \coloneqq [\eta_1(x), \dots, \eta_\ell(x)]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,

$$\widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \theta_j \eta_j(x) = \langle \theta, \eta(x) \rangle$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Beard, Saridis, and Wen, 'Galerkin approximations of the generalized Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation', 1997.

Modeling Lyapunov functions using function approximators has been studied:

▶ Through feature maps<sup>2</sup>  $x \mapsto \eta(x) \coloneqq [\eta_1(x), \dots, \eta_\ell(x)]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,

$$\widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \theta_j \eta_j(x) = \langle \theta, \eta(x) \rangle$$

Stacking generalized linear models (GLMs) yields a neural network<sup>3</sup>, described by the following recursive equations

$$x^{0} = x, \quad x^{k+1} = \varphi(W^{k}x^{k} + b^{k}), \ k \in \{0, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \quad \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) = W^{\ell}x^{\ell} + b^{\ell}$$

where  $\theta = (W^{\ell}, b^{\ell})$  and  $z \mapsto \varphi(z)$  denotes the activation function.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Beard, Saridis, and Wen, 'Galerkin approximations of the generalized Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation', 1997. <sup>3</sup>Literature is EXTENSIVE!

Modeling Lyapunov functions using function approximators has been studied:

▶ Through feature maps<sup>2</sup>  $x \mapsto \eta(x) \coloneqq [\eta_1(x), \dots, \eta_\ell(x)]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,

$$\widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \theta_j \eta_j(x) = \langle \theta, \eta(x) \rangle$$

Stacking generalized linear models (GLMs) yields a neural network<sup>3</sup>, described by the following recursive equations

$$x^{0} = x, \quad x^{k+1} = \varphi(W^{k}x^{k} + b^{k}), \ k \in \{0, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \quad \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) = W^{\ell}x^{\ell} + b^{\ell}$$

where  $\theta = (W^{\ell}, b^{\ell})$  and  $z \mapsto \varphi(z)$  denotes the activation function.

• We will denote by  $\theta$  the **parameters** of a Lyapunov function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}(\cdot)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Beard, Saridis, and Wen, 'Galerkin approximations of the generalized Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation', 1997.
<sup>3</sup>Literature is EXTENSIVE!

We define the notion of **complexity of a function**. E.g., every finite dimensional reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS)  $\mathcal{H}_K$  can be described as

$$f(x) = \langle \kappa(\cdot, x), f(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \, \forall f \in \mathcal{H}_K$$

where, for all  $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\kappa(x, x') = \langle \eta(x), \eta(x') \rangle$$

Then, it follows

$$||f||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 \coloneqq \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K}$$

• We will denote by  $\theta$  the **parameters** of a Lyapunov function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}(\cdot)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Beard, Saridis, and Wen, 'Galerkin approximations of the generalized Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation', 1997.
<sup>3</sup>Literature is EXTENSIVE!



Montenegro G., J. Leudo, and Sanfelice - UCSC - 10/23

 $\mathcal{F}_C := \left\{ ext{centers of } igcap 
ight\}$ 



 $\mathcal{F}_C := \left\{ ext{centers of } igcap 
ight\}$ 



$$(C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{x' \in \mathcal{F}_C} x' + \varepsilon_C \mathbb{B}$$



Montenegro G., J. Leudo, and Sanfelice - UCSC - 10/23
### Coverings via $\varepsilon$ -nets



Coverings via  $\varepsilon$ -nets

# Optimization Problem for Lyapunov Functions

#### Robust Program (RP)







Does solving the SP guarantee Lyapunov constraints satisfaction for points that were not sampled?

#### **Optimization Problem for Lyapunov Functions**

Robust Program (RP) Scenario Program (SP)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} & \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A}, \\ & \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x') < 0 \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}, \\ & \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x') < 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{F}_{D} \setminus \mathcal{A} \end{array}$ 



#### **Optimization Problem for Lyapunov Functions**

Robust Program (RP) Scenario Program (SP)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} & \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A}, \\ & \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \end{array} & \text{s.t.} & \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x') < -\tau_{C} \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{C} \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ & \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x') < 0 \quad \forall x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$



#### **Optimization Problem for Lyapunov Functions**

Robust Program (RP) Scenario Program (SP)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} & \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A}, \\ & \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x') < -\tau_{C} \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{C} \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ & \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x') < 0 \quad \forall x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} & \|\theta\|_{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x') < -\tau_{C} \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{C} \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ & \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x') < -\tau_{D} \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{D} \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}) \end{array} \right)$$





► Goal: Generalize from sample data to compact set U.

- ► **Goal**: Generalize from sample data to compact set *U*.
- **Prerequisites**:  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $\star \cap \mathcal{U}, \star \in \{C, D\}$



- ► **Goal**: Generalize from sample data to compact set *U*.
- Prerequisites:  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $\star \cap \mathcal{U}, \star \in \{C, D\}$
- ▶ Approach: Choose  $\tau_C$  and  $\tau_D$  such that constraints hold at all points in  $(\star \cap U) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \star \in \{C, D\}$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x') &< -\tau_C \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_C \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x') &< -\tau_D \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_D \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}) \end{split}$$

- ► **Goal**: Generalize from sample data to compact set *U*.
- ▶ **Prerequisites**:  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $\star \cap \mathcal{U}, \star \in \{C, D\}$
- ▶ Approach: Choose  $\tau_C$  and  $\tau_D$  such that constraints hold at all points in  $(\star \cap U) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \star \in \{C, D\}$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}) \end{split}$$

- ► **Goal**: Generalize from sample data to compact set *U*.
- **Prerequisites**:  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $\star \cap \mathcal{U}, \star \in \{C, D\}$
- ▶ Approach: Choose  $\tau_C$  and  $\tau_D$  such that constraints hold at all points in  $(\star \cap U) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \star \in \{C, D\}$



- ► **Goal**: Generalize from sample data to compact set *U*.
- **Prerequisites**:  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $\star \cap \mathcal{U}, \star \in \{C, D\}$
- ▶ Approach: Choose  $\tau_C$  and  $\tau_D$  such that constraints hold at all points in  $(\star \cap U) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \star \in \{C, D\}$



- ► Goal: Generalize from sample data to compact set U.
- **Prerequisites**:  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $\star \cap \mathcal{U}, \star \in \{C, D\}$
- ▶ Approach: Choose  $\tau_C$  and  $\tau_D$  such that constraints hold at all points in  $(\star \cap U) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \star \in \{C, D\}$



- ► Goal: Generalize from sample data to compact set U.
- **Prerequisites**:  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $\star \cap \mathcal{U}, \star \in \{C, D\}$
- ▶ Approach: Choose  $\tau_C$  and  $\tau_D$  such that constraints hold at all points in  $(\star \cap U) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \star \in \{C, D\}$



Lipschitz Continuity Consider

- the function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  defined as a **neural network** with d layers and network parameter  $\theta$ ,
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , and
- a compact set  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set).

Lipschitz Continuity Consider

- the function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  defined as a **neural network** with d layers and network parameter  $\theta$ ,
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , and
- a compact set  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set).

**Lemma**. Lipschitz continuity of the Lyapunov function  $\widehat{V}_{ heta}$ 

If the activation function  $\varphi$  is  $L_{\varphi}$ -Lipschitz continuous, then  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  is  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$ -Lipschitz continuous.

Lipschitz Continuity Consider

- the function  $\hat{V}_{\theta}$  defined as a **neural network** with d layers and network parameter  $\theta$ ,
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , and
- a compact set  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set).

**Lemma**. Lipschitz continuity of the Lyapunov function  $\hat{V}_{\theta}$ If the activation function  $\varphi$  is  $L_{\varphi}$ -Lipschitz continuous, then  $\hat{V}_{\theta}$  is  $L_{\hat{V}_{\theta}}$ -Lipschitz continuous.

**Lemma**. Lipschitz continuity of the gradient of the Lyapunov function  $\hat{V}_{\theta}$ If the activation function  $\varphi$  is  $C^2$ , then  $\nabla \hat{V}_{\theta}$  is  $L_{\nabla \hat{V}_{\theta}}$ -Lipschitz continuous.

Lipschitz Continuity Consider

- the function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  defined as a **neural network** with d layers and network parameter  $\theta$ ,
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , and
- a compact set  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set).

**Proposition**. Lipschitz continuity of  $\hat{V}_{\theta}$ 

#### lf

- the flow map F is  $L_F$ -Lipschitz,
- ▶ there exists  $\eta_F > 0$  such that  $||F(x)|| \le \eta_F$  for all  $x \in C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and
- ▶ the activation function  $\varphi$  is  $L_{\varphi}$ -Lipschitz and its gradient  $\nabla \varphi$  is  $L_{\nabla \varphi}$ -Lipschitz,

Lipschitz Continuity Consider

- the function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  defined as a **neural network** with d layers and network parameter  $\theta$ ,
- $\blacktriangleright$  a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H}=(C,F,D,G),$  and
- a compact set  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set).

**Proposition**. Lipschitz continuity of  $\hat{V}_{\theta}$ 

#### lf

- the flow map F is  $L_F$ -Lipschitz,
- ▶ there exists  $\eta_F > 0$  such that  $||F(x)|| \le \eta_F$  for all  $x \in C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and
- ▶ the activation function  $\varphi$  is  $L_{\varphi}$ -Lipschitz and its gradient  $\nabla \varphi$  is  $L_{\nabla \varphi}$ -Lipschitz,

 $\text{then, the function } \dot{\hat{V}}_{\theta}(x) \coloneqq \langle \nabla \hat{V}_{\theta}(x), F(x) \rangle \text{ is } L_{\dot{\hat{V}}_{\theta}} - \text{Lipschitz with } L_{\dot{\hat{V}}_{\theta}} := L_{\nabla \hat{V}_{\theta}} \eta_F + L_{\hat{V}_{\theta}} L_F.$ 

#### Main Result

Proposition. Generalized Lyapunov Conditions

Given

• compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),

#### Main Result

Proposition. Generalized Lyapunov Conditions

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,

#### Main Result

Proposition. Generalized Lyapunov Conditions

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶ an  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  − Lipschitz function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  defined by a **neural network** over  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  −Lipschitz time derivative on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and

#### Main Result

Proposition. Generalized Lyapunov Conditions

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶ an  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  Lipschitz function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  defined by a **neural network** over  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  -Lipschitz time derivative on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and
- ▶  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and over  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ , respectively,

#### Main Result

Proposition. Generalized Lyapunov Conditions

Given

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶ an  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  Lipschitz function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  defined by a **neural network** over  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  -Lipschitz time derivative on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and
- ▶  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and over  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ , respectively,

if, for some  $\tau_C > L_{\hat{V}_{\theta}}\varepsilon$ ,  $\tau_D > L_{\hat{V}_{\theta}}(1+L_G)\varepsilon$ ,  $\mu > \varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \dot{\hat{V}}_{\theta}(x') &\leq -\tau_C \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_C \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ \Delta \hat{V}_{\theta}(x') &\leq -\tau_D \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_D \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \end{split}$$

#### Main Result

Proposition. Generalized Lyapunov Conditions

Given

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶ an  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  Lipschitz function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  defined by a **neural network** over  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  -Lipschitz time derivative on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and
- ▶  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and over  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ , respectively,

then,

$$\begin{split} \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x) < 0 & \forall x \in (C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) < 0 & \forall x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}). \end{split}$$

#### Bootstrap Evaluation





#### Bootstrap Evaluation



SP:  

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^r}{\text{minimize}} \quad |\theta|_2 \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \dot{\hat{V}}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_C \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_C \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ & \Delta \hat{V}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_D \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_D \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}) \end{split}$$

#### Bootstrap Evaluation



SP:  

$$\begin{split} &\underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} \quad |\theta|_{2} \\ &\text{s.t.} \quad \dot{\hat{V}}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_{C} \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{C} \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ & \Delta \hat{V}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_{D} \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{D} \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}) \end{split}$$

#### Bootstrap Evaluation



SP:  

$$\begin{split} &\underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}'}{\text{minimize}} \quad |\theta|_2 \\ &\text{s.t.} \quad \dot{\hat{V}}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_C \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_C \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ & \Delta \hat{V}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_D \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_D \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}) \end{split}$$

#### Bootstrap Evaluation



SP:  

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r}}{\text{minimize}} \quad |\theta|_{2} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \dot{\hat{V}}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_{C} \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{C} \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ \quad \Delta \hat{V}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_{D} \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_{D} \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}) \end{array}$$

#### **Bootstrap Evaluation**



#### **Bootstrap Evaluation**



#### **Bootstrap Evaluation**

Iterative search for a learning-based Lyapunov function.



Montenegro G., J. Leudo, and Sanfelice - UCSC - 16/23

## Learning-based Sufficient Conditions for Stability

#### Main Result

Theorem. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and over  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ , respectively, and
### Main Result

Theorem. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Given

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and over  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ , respectively, and
- a  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  Lipschitz function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  over  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  -Lipschitz time derivative on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , such that  $\alpha_1(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \leq \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x|_{\mathcal{A}})$  on  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ .

### Main Result

Theorem. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Given

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and over  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ , respectively, and
- ▶ a  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  Lipschitz function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  over  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  -Lipschitz time derivative on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , such that  $\alpha_1(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \leq \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x|_{\mathcal{A}})$  on  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ .

If for  $\mu > \varepsilon$  and some  $\tau_C > L_{\dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}}\varepsilon$ ,  $\tau_D > L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}(1+L_G)\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{\hat{V}}_{\theta}(x') &\leq -\tau_C \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_C \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ \Delta \hat{V}_{\theta}(x') &\leq -\tau_D \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_D \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \end{split}$$

### Main Result

Theorem. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Given

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and over  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ , respectively, and
- a  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  Lipschitz function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  over  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  -Lipschitz time derivative on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$ , such that  $\alpha_1(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \leq \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x|_{\mathcal{A}})$  on  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Then, A is practically pre-asymptotically stable (PpAS) for H with respect to  $\varepsilon$ .

### Main Result

Theorem. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Given

- compact sets  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (sample set) and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (set to render stable),
- ▶ a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$ , with F locally  $L_F$ -Lipschitz on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and G locally  $L_G$ -Lipschitz on  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ▶  $\varepsilon > 0$  defining  $\mathcal{F}_C$  and  $\mathcal{F}_D$  as  $\varepsilon$ -nets over  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  and over  $D \cap \mathcal{U}$ , respectively, and
- a  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  Lipschitz function  $\widehat{V}_{\theta}$  over  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , and  $L_{\widehat{V}_{\theta}}$  Lipschitz time derivative on  $C \cap \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\alpha_1(|x||_A) \leq \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x||_A)$  on  $(C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$ .

We say that a set  $\mathcal{A}$  is **PpAS** for  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$  if there exists  $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$  such that each solution  $\phi$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  from  $(\overline{C} \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$  that stays in  $(\overline{C} \cup D \cup G(D)) \cap \mathcal{U}$ , satisfies

 $|\phi(t,j)_{\mathcal{A}}| \le \beta(|\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}}, t+j) + \mu \quad \forall (t,j) \in \mathrm{dom}\,\phi.$ 

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Given  $\mu > \varepsilon > 0$ , and since for some  $\tau_C > L_{\hat{V}_a}\varepsilon$  and  $\tau_D > L_{\hat{V}_a}(1+L_G)\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\hat{\hat{V}}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_C \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_C \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), 
\Delta \hat{V}_{\theta}(x') \leq -\tau_D \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_D \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}),$$

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Given  $\mu > \varepsilon > 0$ , and since for some  $\tau_C > L_{\hat{V}_a}\varepsilon$  and  $\tau_D > L_{\hat{V}_a}(1+L_G)\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x') &\leq -\tau_C \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_C \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x') &\leq -\tau_D \quad \forall x' \in \mathcal{F}_D \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \end{split}$$

then, from the Proposition on Generalized Lyapunov Conditions we have that

$$\begin{split} \dot{\widehat{V}}_{\theta}(x) < 0 & \forall x \in (C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}), \\ \Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) < 0 & \forall x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}). \end{split}$$

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Since

$$\alpha_1(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \leq \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \qquad \text{for all } x \in (C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U},$$

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Since

$$\alpha_1(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \le \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \le \alpha_2(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad \text{for all } x \in (C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U},$$

it can be shown that there exist  $\alpha_C, \alpha_D \in \mathcal{K}$  such that

 $\hat{\bar{V}}_{\theta}(x) \leq -\alpha_C(\widehat{V}_{\theta}(x)) \qquad \text{for all } x \in (C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}),$ 

and

 $\Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \leq -\alpha_D(\widehat{V}_{\theta}(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}).$ 

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Since

$$\alpha_1(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \le \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \le \alpha_2(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \qquad \text{for all } x \in (C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}$$

it can be shown that there exist  $\alpha_C, \alpha_D \in \mathcal{K}$  such that

 $\hat{V}_{\theta}(x) \leq -\alpha_C(\hat{V}_{\theta}(x)) \qquad \text{for all } x \in (C \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}),$ 

and

$$\Delta \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \leq -\alpha_D(\widehat{V}_{\theta}(x)) \qquad \text{for all } x \in (D \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B})$$

Define

$$x \mapsto \alpha(x) := \min\{\alpha_C(x), \alpha_D(x)\}$$

and, without loss of generality, assume it is locally Lipschitz.

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Given a solution  $\phi$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  from  $((C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B})$ , by the comparison principle for hybrid systems we have that

$$\widehat{V}_{\theta}(\phi(t,j)) \leq \widetilde{\beta}\left(\widehat{V}_{\theta}(\phi(0,0)), t+j\right) \qquad \text{ for all } (t,j) \in \operatorname{dom} \phi,$$

where  $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{KL}$ .

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Given a solution  $\phi$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  from  $((C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B})$ , by the comparison principle for hybrid systems we have that

$$\widehat{V}_{\theta}(\phi(t,j)) \leq \widetilde{\beta}\left(\widehat{V}_{\theta}(\phi(0,0)), t+j\right) \qquad \text{ for all } (t,j) \in \operatorname{dom} \phi_{t}$$

where  $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{KL}$ . This, together with

 $\alpha_1(|x|_{(\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B})}) < \alpha_1(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \le \widehat{V}_{\theta}(x) \le \alpha_2(|x|_{\mathcal{A}}) \qquad \text{for all } x \in ((C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B}).$ 

implies that

$$\alpha_1(|\phi(t,j)|_{(\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B})}) < \widehat{V}_{\theta}(\phi(t,j)) \le \widetilde{\beta}\left(\widehat{V}_{\theta}(\phi(0,0)), t+j\right) \le \widetilde{\beta}\left(\alpha_2\left(|\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}}\right), t+j\right)$$

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Consequently,

$$|\phi(t,j)|_{(\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B})} \le \alpha_1^{-1} \left( \tilde{\beta} \left( \alpha_2 \left( |\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \right), t+j \right) \right)$$

where  $(r, t+j) \mapsto \alpha_1^{-1} \left( \tilde{\beta} \left( \alpha_2 \left( r \right), t+j \right) \right) \in \mathcal{KL}.$ 

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Consequently,

$$|\phi(t,j)|_{(\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B})} \le \alpha_1^{-1} \left( \tilde{\beta} \left( \alpha_2 \left( |\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \right), t+j \right) \right)$$

where  $(r, t + j) \mapsto \alpha_1^{-1} \left( \tilde{\beta} \left( \alpha_2 \left( r \right), t + j \right) \right) \in \mathcal{KL}$ . Finally, notice that,

 $|x|_{\mathcal{A}} = |x|_{(\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B})} + \mu \qquad \text{ for any } x \in ((C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}).$ 

#### Main Result

Proof Sketch. Practical pre-Asymptotic Stability

Consequently,

$$|\phi(t,j)|_{(\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B})} \le \alpha_1^{-1} \left( \tilde{\beta} \left( \alpha_2 \left( |\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \right), t+j \right) \right)$$

where  $(r,t+j)\mapsto \alpha_{1}^{-1}\left(\tilde{\beta}\left(\alpha_{2}\left(r\right),t+j\right)\right)\in\mathcal{KL}.$  Finally, notice that,

 $|x|_{\mathcal{A}} = |x|_{(\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B})} + \mu \qquad \text{ for any } x \in ((C \cup D) \cap \mathcal{U}) \setminus (\mathcal{A} + \mu \mathbb{B}).$ 

Then, the desired  $\mathcal{KL}$  bound follows:

$$|\phi(t,j)|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\phi(t,j)|_{(\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B})} + \mu \leq \alpha^{-1} \left( \tilde{\beta} \left( \alpha_2 \left( |\phi(0,0)|_{\mathcal{A}} \right), t+j \right) \right) + \mu$$
 for every  $(t,j) \in \operatorname{dom} \phi$ .

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) &=& (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0 \\ (x_1^+, x_2^+) &=& (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} & (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) = (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0 \\ & (x_1^+, x_2^+) = (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{cases}$$



► Goal: Design learning-based Lyapunov function to certify stability of A := 0.

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} & (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) = (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0 \\ & (x_1^+, x_2^+) = (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{cases}$$



► Goal: Design learning-based Lyapunov function to certify stability of A := 0.

Sampling set

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1^2 / h_0^2 + x_2^2 / v_0^2 \le 1 \right\}$$

where  $h_0, v_0 > 0$ .

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) &=& (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0 \\ (x_1^+, x_2^+) &=& (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{array} \right.$$



► Goal: Design learning-based Lyapunov function to certify stability of A := 0.

Sampling set

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1^2 / h_0^2 + x_2^2 / v_0^2 \le 1 \right\}$$

where  $h_0, v_0 > 0$ .

Strategically chosen samples to cover  $(C \cap U) \setminus (A + \mu \mathbb{B})$  and  $(D \cap U) \setminus (A + \mu \mathbb{B})$ 

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) = (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0\\ (x_1^+, x_2^+) = (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{cases}$$



We enforce conditions at the centers of the balls, and generalize them to every point in  $((C\cup D)\cap \mathcal{U})\setminus (\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B}).$ 

► Goal: Design learning-based Lyapunov function to certify stability of A := 0.

Sampling set

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1^2 / h_0^2 + x_2^2 / v_0^2 \le 1 \right\}$$

where  $h_0, v_0 > 0$ .

Strategically chosen samples to cover  $(C \cap U) \setminus (A + \mu \mathbb{B})$  and  $(D \cap U) \setminus (A + \mu \mathbb{B})$ 

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) &= (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0\\ (x_1^+, x_2^+) &= (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{cases}$$



We enforce conditions at the centers of the balls, and generalize them to every point in  $((C\cup D)\cap\mathcal{U})\setminus(\mathcal{A}+\mu\mathbb{B}).$ 

► Goal: Design learning-based Lyapunov function to certify stability of A := 0.

Sampling set

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1^2 / h_0^2 + x_2^2 / v_0^2 \le 1 \right\}$$

where  $h_0, v_0 > 0$ .

- Strategically chosen samples to cover  $(C \cap U) \setminus (A + \mu \mathbb{B})$  and  $(D \cap U) \setminus (A + \mu \mathbb{B})$
- We guarantee practical asymptotic stability of A for H with respect to ε.

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) &= (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0\\ (x_1^+, x_2^+) &= (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{cases} \qquad \varepsilon_C = 0.01$$





$$\mathcal{H} \begin{cases} (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) &= (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0\\ (x_1^+, x_2^+) &= (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{cases} \qquad \varepsilon_C = 0.01$$



Montenegro G., J. Leudo, and Sanfelice - UCSC - 20/23

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) &=& (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0 \\ (x_1^+, x_2^+) &=& (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{array} \right.$$



$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} (\dot{x_1}, \dot{x_2}) &=& (x_2, -x_1 - \lambda_C x_2) & x_1 \ge 0 \\ (x_1^+, x_2^+) &=& (0, \lambda_D x_2) & x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 \le 0 \end{array} \right.$$









We proposed a data-driven algorithm to synthesize a Lyapunov function to guarantee asymptotic stability of a set of interest for a hybrid system.

- We proposed a data-driven algorithm to synthesize a Lyapunov function to guarantee asymptotic stability of a set of interest for a hybrid system.
- Given a cost functional associated to solutions to a hybrid system, results are extended to obtain an upper bound on the cost, with no computing of solutions.

- We proposed a data-driven algorithm to synthesize a Lyapunov function to guarantee asymptotic stability of a set of interest for a hybrid system.
- Given a cost functional associated to solutions to a hybrid system, results are extended to obtain an upper bound on the cost, with no computing of solutions.
- Generalization to sample space from enforcing point-wise conditions at finite strategically-spaced samples.

- We proposed a data-driven algorithm to synthesize a Lyapunov function to guarantee asymptotic stability of a set of interest for a hybrid system.
- Given a cost functional associated to solutions to a hybrid system, results are extended to obtain an upper bound on the cost, with no computing of solutions.
- Generalization to sample space from enforcing point-wise conditions at finite strategically-spaced samples.
- Application in oscillator with impacts.

- We proposed a data-driven algorithm to synthesize a Lyapunov function to guarantee asymptotic stability of a set of interest for a hybrid system.
- Given a cost functional associated to solutions to a hybrid system, results are extended to obtain an upper bound on the cost, with no computing of solutions.
- Generalization to sample space from enforcing point-wise conditions at finite strategically-spaced samples.
- Application in oscillator with impacts.
- Future work: Evaluating different data-driven methods to learn the Lyapunov and value functions, and an extension to hybrid inclusions.

This research has been partially supported by

- the National Science Foundation under Grant no. CNS-2039054 and Grant no. CNS-2111688,
- the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant no. FA9550-19-1-0169, Grant no. FA9550-20-1-0238, Grant no. FA9550-23-1-0145, and Grant no. FA9550-23-1-0313,
- the Air Force Research Laboratory under Grant no. FA8651-22-1-0017 and Grant no. FA8651-23-1-0004,
- ▶ the Army Research Office under Grant no. W911NF-20-1-0253,
- ▶ and the Department of Defense Grant no. W911NF-23-1-0158.