Generalizing Formation Chain Framework with Insights from Screw Theory

Taryn J. Noone & Norman G. Fitz-Coy



















• We are seeking to solve the Swarm Initialization Problem (SIP) in the special case of a **circular swarm trajectory** (eccentricity = 0, exact).

Quantization of the orbit into evenly-spaced 'checkpoints'

- Same number of checkpoints as satellites.
- Assume no checkpoint is 'special'; i.e., re-orienting global coordinates to set any checkpoint as 'initial' results in an identical problem.
- **Construction of formation chains** based on geometry alone.
 - Consider distinct modes of translation and rotation.
 - Utilization of homogeneous coordinates consistent with screw theory.
 - Leads to quantification of geometric swarm motion.













Swarm-Preserving Operations







uke









- Invariance of swarm optimality under rotation of the swarm within a known space of valid rotations:
 - Example: Planar formations rotated about the normal of their plane.





- Invariance of swarm optimality under translation of the swarm within a known space of valid translations.
 - Complicated by requirement that no one checkpoint is 'special'.
 - Supports three 'modes' of translation:
 - 1. Globally fixed displacement applied to all checkpoints.
 - 2. Rotationally fixed displacement.
 - 3. Swarm fixed displacement normal to axis of swarm rotation.





• Invariance of swarm optimality under transposition, or re-labeling of any two satellites.











Index Chains

















- A full index chain for each satellite is fully defined by the first two checkpoints.
 - Results in n² index chains for n satellites.
 - Valid assignments must have unique row and column indices.

Index Chain for 3 Satellites		Moves to Position Index		
		A	В	С
Satellite Index	1 (at A)	Α, Α, Α	А, В, С	А, С, В
	2 (at B)	B, A, C	B, B, B	В, С, А
	3 (at C)	С, А, В	С, В, А	C, C, C















Modes of Rotation

















• Fixed parameters of the chain cost function:



















👁 UC SANTA CRUZ



















































Modes of Rotation









Modes of Translation



























UC SANTA CRUZ



















- For $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$, we have $\vec{s}_{\Delta k}$ and \vec{s}_{rk} , where
 - $\vec{s}_{\Delta k}$ is incremental that is, at each time step, a rotated value is added to the overall displacement,
 - \vec{s}_{rk} is fixed to \hat{w}_k in such a way that it rotates by some integer multiple of Δ with each time step.





- For $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$, we have $\vec{s}_{\Delta k}$ and \vec{s}_{rk} , where
 - $\vec{s}_{\Delta k}$ is incremental that is, at each time step, a rotated value is added to the overall displacement,
 - \vec{s}_{rk} is fixed to \hat{w}_k in such a way that it rotates by some integer multiple of Δ with each time step.











The Formation Chain Function







uke









Let us consider each mode of translation separately.

• The *k*th mode of displacement at time step 0 is

$$\vec{s}_{k,0} = \vec{s}_{rk} + \vec{s}_{\Delta k}.$$

Per the previous two slides, the displacement at time step 1 is

$$\vec{s}_{k,1} = \boldsymbol{R}_{wk} \cdot \vec{s}_{rk} + (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{R}_{wk}) \cdot \vec{s}_{\Delta k}.$$

Rearranging, we obtain

$$\vec{s}_{\ell,1} = \boldsymbol{R}_{W\ell} \cdot (\vec{s}_{r\ell} + \vec{s}_{\Delta\ell}) + \vec{s}_{\Delta\ell}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{R}_{W\ell} \cdot \vec{s}_{\ell,0} + \vec{s}_{\Delta\ell}$$

We see that $\vec{s}_{k,0}$ is transformed by a mode of rotation and a mode of translation, as described by screw theory.

• For the sake of compactification, we take $R_{w0} \equiv R_{Z0}$.





Consequently, we investigate the position of the swarm centroid

• The position of the swarm centroid at time step 0 is

$$\vec{r}_{0,0}^* = a_0 \hat{X}_0 + \vec{s}_g + \vec{s}_{0,0} + \vec{s}_{1,0} + \vec{s}_{2,0} + \dots + \vec{s}_{K,0}.$$

Consequently, the displacement at time step 1 is $\vec{r}_{0,1}^* = \mathbf{R}_{Z0} \cdot a_0 \hat{X}_0 + \vec{s}_g + \vec{s}_{0,1} + \vec{s}_{1,1} + \vec{s}_{2,1} + \dots + \vec{s}_{K,1}.$ It can be shown that

$$\vec{r}_{0,1}^* = \mathbf{R}_{Z0} \cdot \vec{r}_{0,0}^* + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left[(\mathbf{R}_{wk} - \mathbf{R}_{Z0}) \cdot \vec{s}_{k,0} \right] + \vec{s}_{\Delta}$$

where

$$\vec{s}_{\Delta} = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{R}_{Z0}) \cdot \vec{s}_{g} + \sum_{k=0}^{K} \vec{s}_{\Delta k}$$











Note that the dependence on $\vec{s}_{0,0}$ drops out, so we may summarize the propagation of all displacement modes in matrix form as such

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{0,1} \\ \vec{s}_{1,1} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{s}_{K,1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{Z0} & \mathbf{R}_{w1} - \mathbf{R}_{Z0} & \cdots & \mathbf{R}_{wK} - \mathbf{R}_{Z0} & \vec{s}_{\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{R}_{w1} & & & \vec{s}_{\Delta1} \\ & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & & \mathbf{R}_{wK} & \vec{s}_{\Delta K} \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{0,0} \\ \vec{s}_{1,0} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{s}_{K,0} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

With suitable definitions, we may express this as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{0,1} \\ \boldsymbol{S}_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{R}_{Z0} & \boldsymbol{D}_W & \vec{s}_\Delta \\ & \boldsymbol{R}_W & \boldsymbol{S}_\Delta \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{0,0}^* \\ \boldsymbol{S}_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$













The Formation Chain Function



where

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{W} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{K} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{W} - \boldsymbol{R}_{Z0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{K}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{P} = \underbrace{[\boldsymbol{I} \cdots \boldsymbol{I}]}_{\text{blockwise } 1 \times P}$$
$$\boldsymbol{R}_{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{R}_{W1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \boldsymbol{R}_{WK} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{S}_{\Delta} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{S}_{\Delta,1} \\ \vdots \\ & \vec{S}_{\Delta,K} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{S}_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{S}_{1,j} \\ \vdots \\ & \vec{S}_{K,j} \end{bmatrix}$$

Because the relationship between time steps is consistent, we may state generally that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{0,j}^* \\ S_{j} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{Z0} & \mathbf{D}_{W} & \vec{s}_{\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{R}_{W} & \mathbf{S}_{\Delta} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{0,j-1}^* \\ S_{j-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{0,0}^* \\ S_{0} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0}\hat{X}_{0} + \vec{s}_{0} \\ S_{0} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$















Lastly, we determine the evolution of the satellite positions relative to the swarm centroid. Consider that

$$\vec{r}_{i,j}^* = \vec{\rho}_{i,j}^* + \vec{r}_{0,j}^*$$

where

$$\vec{\rho}_{i,j}^* = \mathbf{R}_\Delta \cdot \vec{\rho}_{i,j-1}^*$$
,

and

$$\vec{r}_{0,j}^* = \mathbf{R}_{Z0} \cdot \vec{r}_{0,j-1}^* + \mathbf{D}_W \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j-1} + \vec{s}_{\Delta}.$$

Since

$$\vec{\rho}_{i,j-1}^* = \vec{r}_{i,j-1}^* - \vec{r}_{0,j-1}^*,$$

it follows that

$$\vec{r}_{i,j}^* = \boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta} \cdot \vec{r}_{i,j-1}^* + (\boldsymbol{R}_{Z0} - \boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta}) \cdot \vec{r}_{0,j-1}^* + \boldsymbol{D}_W \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j-1} + \vec{s}_{\Delta}.$$













The Formation Chain Function



Finally, let us define

$$\boldsymbol{c}_{Z0} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}^{T} \cdot (\boldsymbol{R}_{Z0} - \boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta}), \quad \boldsymbol{c}_{W} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{D}_{W}, \quad \boldsymbol{c}_{\Delta} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}^{T} \cdot \vec{s}_{\Delta}$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta}) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{r}_{j}^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_{1,j}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_{n,j}^{*} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_{0}^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\rho}_{1}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\rho}_{n}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
blockwise $n \times n$

We at last obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_{j}^{*} \\ \vec{r}_{0,j}^{*} \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{j} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta}) & \boldsymbol{c}_{Z0} & \boldsymbol{c}_{W} & \boldsymbol{c}_{\Delta} \\ & \boldsymbol{R}_{Z0} & \boldsymbol{D}_{W} & \vec{S}_{\Delta} \\ & & \boldsymbol{R}_{W} & \boldsymbol{S}_{\Delta} \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_{j-1}^{*} \\ \vec{r}_{0,j-1}^{*} \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{j-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}_0^* = \mathcal{D}_n(\boldsymbol{R}_u) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0^* + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_n^T \cdot \vec{r}_{0,0}^*$.















To account for the effect of re-indexing, we introduce the assignment matrix A_{p} , where p is an index to distinguish different assignment matrices from one another.

- Assignment applies to the first row only.
 - Columns 3, 4 and 5 all contain the same element, so that

$$A_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot c_{Z0} = c_{Z0}, \qquad A_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot c_{W} = c_{W}, \qquad A_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot c_{\Delta} = c_{\Delta}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_{j}^{*} \\ \vec{r}_{0,j}^{*} \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{j} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{p} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta}) & \boldsymbol{c}_{Z0} & \boldsymbol{c}_{W} & \boldsymbol{c}_{\Delta} \\ & \boldsymbol{R}_{Z0} & \boldsymbol{D}_{W} & \vec{s}_{\Delta} \\ & \boldsymbol{R}_{W} & \boldsymbol{S}_{\Delta} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_{j-1}^{*} \\ \vec{r}_{0,j-1}^{*} \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{j-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$















The final value of the Formation Chain Function is as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_{j}^{*} \\ \vec{r}_{0,j}^{*} \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{j} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{p} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\Delta}) & \boldsymbol{c}_{Z0} & \boldsymbol{c}_{W} & \boldsymbol{c}_{\Delta} \\ & \boldsymbol{R}_{Z0} & \boldsymbol{D}_{W} & \vec{s}_{\Delta} \\ & \boldsymbol{R}_{W} & \boldsymbol{S}_{\Delta} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_{j-1}^{*} \\ \vec{r}_{0,j-1}^{*} \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{j-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using a time-invariant state transition matrix, we obtain

$$\mathbf{z}_{j} = \mathbf{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{j-1}$$

Note, it can be shown that

$$\mathbf{z}_{j} = \mathbf{\Phi}^{j} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{0}$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}_n = \mathbf{\Phi}^n \cdot \mathbf{z}_0 = \mathbf{z}_0.$$































- Process is intended for swarms with any number of satellites.
- Process must produce results of LISA swarm to be considered viable.
- Consider a LISA-like configuration with the following parameters.

Parameter / Variable	Value		
$\hat{ ho}_i$	$\cos((i-1)\cdot 120^\circ)\hat{X} - \sin((i-1)\cdot 120^\circ)\hat{Y}$		
ρ	1,000 km		
a_0	10,000 km		
ϕ	0°		
û	\widehat{Y}		
heta	-60°		
\widehat{W}	$\sin(120^\circ)\hat{X} + \cos(120^\circ)\hat{Z}$		
UF FLORIDA	Duke Entry Example TEXAS UC SANTA CRUZ		



Left-handed motion \Rightarrow path A, C, B; right-handed motion \Rightarrow path A, B, C. •

Parameter / Variable	Left-Handed Motion	Right-Handed Motion
\vec{s}_g	$-(20.14 \text{ km}) \hat{Z}$	(66.62 km) <i>Ź</i>
\vec{s}_{rk} , $\vec{s}_{\Delta k} \ \forall \ k \geq 0$	$\vec{0}$ km	$\vec{0}$ km
a	10,076 km	10,032 km
e_1	0.0454	0.0508
<i>e</i> ₂	0.0454	0.0508
<i>e</i> ₃	0.0454	0.0508
ν_1	180°	180°
ν_2	305°	65°
ν_3	55°	295°
UF FLORIDA	TEXAS	🔊 🖉 UC SANTA CRUZ



• Path comparison for right-handed motion.





• Path comparison for right-handed motion.







Conclusions

















- Summary:
 - Extended the number of degrees of freedom.
 - Uncovered dynamics consistent with screw theory, which pair rotational and translational movement.
 - Drafted a journal article which is now in the early stages of publication.
- Next Steps:
 - Utilize these results to create satellite swarms of various sizes and shapes.
 - Move past the swarm initialization problem towards other engineering challenges surrounding the concept.















Questions











